

**DOCKET NO.: IVOO-0098**  
**Application No.: 09/436,281**  
**Office Action Dated:** July 7, 2008

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of: **Charles Eric Hunter** Confirmation No.: **6394**  
Application No.: **09/436,281** Group Art Unit: **2623**  
Filing Date: **November 8, 1999** Examiner: **Fred H. Peng**  
For: **VIDEO DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**  
**PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b)**

In response to the Interview Summary dated **July 7, 2008**, reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments and/or remarks as indicated below:

- Amendments to the Specification** begin on page \_\_\_\_\_ of this paper.
- Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of the claims which begins on page \_\_\_\_\_ of this paper.
- Amendments to the Drawings** begin on page \_\_\_\_\_ of this paper and include an attached replacement sheet.
- Remarks** begin on page 2 of this paper.

**REMARKS**

The Interview Summary dated July 7, 2007 was received after Applicant's Amendment and Response to the Office Action dated March 25, 2008 was filed on June 23, 2008. This paper is filed merely to confirm that any deadline set forth in the Interview Summary has been satisfied.

Applicant generally concurs with the examiner's comments regarding the substance of the interview and that no agreement was reached. Further, we note that applicant's attorney discussed with the examiner and Mr. Peng how the subject matter of claim 15 is distinguished from a traditional video on demand (VoD) system. Applicants will consider whether it would be helpful to clarify that the preselection mechanism permits preselection of "categories" of movies, as distinguished from a VoD system's selection of an individual title. In addition, applicants will consider whether to further distinguish the claims by reciting that the "preselection" information is not transmitted upstream to the system operator or server, i.e., that the preselection does not affect the blanket transmission schedule.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that this response fully complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b), as set forth in the Interview Summary dated May 23, 2008.

Date: August 6, 2008

/Michael D. Stein/  
Michael D. Stein  
Registration No. 34,734

Woodcock Washburn LLP  
Cira Centre  
2929 Arch Street, 12th Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891  
Telephone: (215) 568-3100  
Facsimile: (215) 568-3439